

Financial Inclusion Impact on Small-Scale Tea Farmers in Nyeri County, Kenya

Elizabeth Kalunda

Abstract

Financial inclusion initiatives have been on the rise in Kenya in a bid to have inclusive development. Small scale tea farmers have been targeted by financial inclusion initiative because of their major contribution in the country's economy. This study seeks to find out the level of financial inclusion in terms of access and usage. It also investigates the impact of financial inclusion on financial literacy of the small scale tea farmers in Nyeri County, Kenya. The findings reveal that the level of inclusion is high and usage in terms of credit access is also high. In terms of financial literacy the farmers are not receiving adequate financial education which is a component of financial inclusion. The study recommends that financial counseling and education should be offered to the farmers to enable them to appropriately use the financial products and services offered through financial inclusion initiatives.

Banking

JEL Code: G20, G21, G28

Keywords: Financial inclusion, Small scale Tea farmers, Kenya,

1. Introduction

Around the world agriculture is and will continue to be a major building block in the achievement of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). Recent statistics show that agricultural production needs to increase by 70 percent by 2050 in order to feed the world, while demographic growth, climate change, and urbanization put pressure on available cultivable land (IFC, 2011). In Kenya, agriculture is the largest economic sector and remains the best opportunity for economic growth and poverty alleviation on the country. It is practiced by both large and small scale farmers. Most of the small farmers have little education and limited exposure to modern financial instruments. Further, many of these small farmers have little or no experience in financial management and financial literacy. Therefore, this brings new attention to the issue of financial inclusion in the agricultural sector especially small scale rural farmers. Financial inclusion can be defined as the process of ensuring access to appropriate financial products and services needed by all sections of the society in general, and vulnerable groups such as weaker sections and low income groups in particular, at an affordable cost in a fair and transparent manner by regulated mainstream institutional players (Chakrabarty, 2010).

*Elizabeth Kalunda, Kimathi University College of Technology, Kenya, School of Business
Elizabeth.kalunda@kuct.ac.ke

Financing smallholder farming has been one of the major concerns of Kenya's development efforts. Smallholders are known to be resource poor and, operate below their potentials (Nyikal, 2000 as cited in Nyikal, 2007). Many credit programs have evolved over the years in Kenya but with dismal performance. Access to financial services by small scale farmers has a potential to make a difference in agricultural productivity, food security and poverty reduction. However, a well-organized, sustainable, tailor made and widely accessible rural agricultural financial system remains a major development challenge in Kenya (Kibaara, 2006).

The belief that governments can use public policy to alleviate financing constraints, and thereby engender development and reduce poverty, led to the widespread implementation of state-led rural credit and savings schemes in low-income countries in the postcolonial period but ultimately with undesirable outcomes (Burgess and Pande, 2005). The past years have demonstrated that neither commercial banks nor the emerging microfinance industry are willing or able to sufficiently meet the financial needs along agricultural value chains, leaving farmers and agricultural SMEs unserved in the so-called "missing middle." There is a broad consensus that existing mechanisms for agricultural finance are not adequate and that we need to move to innovative and market-based approaches that are scalable and can reach a large number of beneficiaries. Likewise there have been unpredictable occurrences in the globe that have made agriculture as a business very risky.

Agriculture has changed a great deal, particularly during the past five years due to both manmade and natural courses. Lower prices for commodities and higher production costs, including higher interest rates; have made it necessary for farmers to become better financial managers. The climatic changes have also been credited for the increased risk in this economic activity. Despite all this agriculture as a business has to compete in the credit market just like any other business. "The farm of the future will be treated financially like any other business--it will have to demonstrate profitability before a bank will finance its operations" (Congress of the United States, 1986 as quoted by Blezek and Post, 1989). This calls for multiple skills, both agricultural and financial management for small scale farmer for them to be viable and survive the competition.

Financial Management is the process of managing the financial resources, including accounting and financial reporting, budgeting, collecting accounts receivable, risk management, and insurance for a business. Financial management is more than a good accounting system and farm records– it includes careful use of borrowed funds and good capital investment decisions. Financial management also addresses tax planning and insurance to protect against financial losses. Financial management and bank products and services knowledge can be acquired through effective and efficient information flow. Information is an economic resource, and "information poverty" is increasingly being recognized as one of the prime causes of underdevelopment (Chowdury 2006; Romer 1993 as cited by Mburu, Njuki and Kariuki (2012). Information is important as households whose access to information is either limited or very costly, may be unaware of other resources available to them, may fail to allocate their resources efficiently, may forego income-enhancing opportunities, or may bear unnecessarily high levels of risk as stated by Mburu, Njuki and Kariuki (2012).

The paper is organized in five parts, with introduction in part one, part two contains literature review and part three discusses the methodology. Part four presents the results and part five concludes with discussion of results and recommendations.

1.1 Kenya Tea

Tea was introduced into Kenya from India by a European settler G. W. Caine in the 19th century when a set of seeds from India were planted on a small farm in 1903 (UK Tea Council, 2010). The first Tea bushes have grown into large trees, forming an historical feature on what is now Unilever's Mabroukie Tea Estate. Kenya has quadrupled its exports over the last decade (Kenya Tea Board Website, 2012). The Tea Industry in Kenya is comprised of two distinct sectors, the plantation or large scale sector and the small holder sector. The plantation sector is owned by large scale tea producers and companies while the small holders sector is by small scale growers. The small holder sector has registered more than half a million growers who are located across tea growing areas in the country. The small holder sector factories are managed by Kenya Tea Development Agency Ltd (KTDA). In Sri Lanka and Kenya they are responsible for about 65 and 62 percent of total production respectively (Sanne, 2008).

Currently, Kenya prides itself as one of the world's leading black Tea producers. The main tea growing areas in Kenya are situated in and around the highland areas on both sides of the Great Rift Valley and include the areas around Mt. Kenya, the Aberdares, and the Nyambene hills in the central Kenya and the Mau escarpment, Kericho Highlands, Nandi and Kisii Highlands and the Cherangani Hills. Kenya's tea contributes 4% to the Gross Domestic Product. The industry contributed total earnings of Kshs.103 billion of which Kshs. 97 billion came from exports and Kshs. 6 billion was earned from the local market. It is also a source of employment to over 5 million Kenyans, including over 600,000 smallholder tea growers.

1.2 Objective

The main objective of the study was to empirically assess the current level of financial access and credit accessibility by small scale tea farmers in Kenya and their financial management practices. The specific objectives are: to determine the level of financial inclusion among small scale tea farmers in Nyeri county, measure the extent of credit access by the small scale tea farmers and to find out the level of awareness on financial management skills by the small scale tea farmers.

1.3 Statement of the Problem

There is an overlap in the economic, environmental and social issues affecting smallholders and large tea producers. However there are also a number of factors that uniquely bedevil the smallholder sub-sector (Sanne, 2008). These factors are caused by the numerous fragmented numbers of the small scale farmers when compared to the few muscled large scale producers. These factors include: small tea farmers are mostly price takers: low level of organization and representation: low farm gate prices: lack of land rights or title deeds: and lack of extension services, poor farm practices and logistics. Information is needed on how these problems facing the small scale farmers can be adequately addressed with an aim of alleviating them. One of

these problems is on financing, which this study seeks to address by finding out how financial inclusion has fared in this sector.

Despite growing research and policy agenda aimed at tackling financial exclusion, there is limited research and data on levels of financial exclusion in the agricultural sector in terms of specific agricultural products. The novelty of the study is that it will look specifically on small scale tea farmers in Central Kenya. This study will also focus on the demand side aspects as opposed to the supply side as most studies have done.

2. Literature Review

Promoting efficient, sustainable and widely accessible rural financial systems remains a major development challenge in most sub Saharan African countries. With about 73 percent of Africa's population living in the rural areas and experiencing a high incidence of rural poverty, improved rural finance is crucial in achieving pro-poor growth and poverty reduction goals (Mburu, Njuki and Kariuki, 2012). About 79 per cent of Kenya's population lives in rural areas and relies on agriculture for most of its income. Nearly half the country's 40 million people are poor, or unable to meet their daily nutritional requirements. The rural economy depends mainly on smallholder subsistence agriculture, which produces 75 per cent of total agricultural output. Evidence shows that agriculture-led growth in Kenya is more than twice as effective in reducing poverty as growth led by industry. The key to better performance in agriculture lies in boosting smallholder productivity and developing non-farm activities (IFAD, 2011).

2.1 Overview of the Banking Sector in Kenya

From independence to the late 1980s, the Government intervened significantly in the financial sector, through both regulation and direct participation in markets. During this period, the overall policy thrust was strongly oriented towards increasing access to finance by emergent Kenyan business, which a foreign bank dominated system was felt to serve poorly (Arora and Ferrand, 2008). Kenya has experienced banking problems since 1986 culminating in major bank failures (37 failed banks as at 1998) following the crises of; 1986 - 1989, 1993/1994 and 1998 (Kithinji and Waweru, 2007; Ngugi, 2001 as cited by Waweru and Kalani, 2009). In the late 1990's, most mainstream commercial banks closed down some rural branches in order to cut costs and improve profits. The non-traditional financial institutions have emerged to fill the gap created by the mainstream banks which locked out low income and irregular earners (Kibaara, 2006).

A study by Argwings-Kodhek (2004) revealed that no institution in Kenya has really perfected lending to normal agriculture per se and most of their loans go to school fees, business development and building houses or commercial premises. The study noted that the typical agricultural portfolio in the range of Kenyan financial institutions is around 2 percent.

2.2 Policy Interventions

During the first two decades after independence, Kenya's economy grew on average by about 6% per year largely associated with the growth in agriculture. This was later followed by a decline then a negative economic growth. This led to need for policy formulation to revamp the economy (National Report on Kenya, 2006). In 2002, the government embarked on an Economic Recovery Strategy for Wealth and Employment Creation (ERS). In 2004 the Ministry of

Agriculture launched the Strategy for Revitalizing Agriculture (SRA) which aims at reversing the declining trend in agricultural productivity by introducing new approaches based on a paradigm shift. It seeks to raise agricultural sector growth to an average of 3.1% during 2003-2007 and to 5.0% thereafter (Kibaara, 2006 and National Report on Kenya, 2006).

3. Methodologies and Activities

The study was a survey and descriptive in nature. The first step of the analysis was the ex-ante identification of the tea factories in region two. Two tea factories in from the region namely Iriaini and Chinga were selected. The choice of the two regions was due to their ease of access and small farm sizes of the members. This is a good fit for the financial inclusion target. A total of 165 structured questionnaires were issued where 133 were returned representing an 80.6% response rate. The farmers were selected randomly through assistance from the tea collection factories which were the collection points. The sampled small scale farmers exhibit the following characteristics: have a land size smaller than 2 hectares and agriculture account for less than 60 percent of the household income. Data collection took a period of 5 days and data entry, coding and analysis 15 days.

4. Analysis and Presentation of Results


4.1 Availability and Use of Bank Account

93.8% of the farmers have a bank account with only 6.2% without. This is in agreement with a study by Argwings-Kodhek (2004) where 92 percent of households in the Central Highlands region have access to some form of a bank account. This is commendable since access to bank accounts is the basic measure of financial access and is a requirement for access to formal credit. Majority of the account holders had savings account, followed by those with current account and a minority had other accounts like saving and credit cooperative (SACCO) accounts. The accounts were actively used on a regular basis by 68%. Debit card through Automated Teller Machines (ATMs) were greatly (78.1%) used while a few (12.5%) accessed their accounts through mobile phones. This shows that presence of a bank increases access and technological innovation can be a channel of achieving financial inclusion.

4.2 Extent of credit access by the small scale tea farmers

4.2.1 Ease of access to loans

This is one measure of financial inclusion. 11% responded that it was very easy, 52% easy and 27% not so easy. Those who experienced difficulties were 5% (difficult) and 6% said it was very difficult. This is a big step toward financial inclusion and financial services providers should be encouraged.



4.2.2 Loan Providers


The following were the loan providers and their percentages; Banks 36.9%, SACCO 13.8 %, Merry-go-round 10.8%, Shylock or Money Lender 1.5% and Friend 3.1 % .No Loan 10.8 %. This shows that a majority of tea farmers (50.7%) besides access to bank accounts use credit services. Use of informal services only was fairly high (15.4%) considering the high rate of financial inclusion which tends to advocate use of formal services.

4.2.3 Level of informal borrowing


When asked if they had engaged in informal borrowing 39% of the farmers had no informal loans, while 50% had borrowed informally. 2% were not sure while 9% declined to respond. This finding is in agreement with the findings of Dupas and Jonathan (2012); Ellis, Lemma and Rud (2010) with reference to Kenya: and Badiru (2011) on Nigeria.

4.2.4 Loan Value Disbursed

When asked if they got the loan value requested 13% of the farmers got above what they had requested for, 46% got exact amount with 34% getting below the requested amount. 7% of the farmers did not know.




4.2.5 Adequacy of the Loan amount



When asked on whether the loan amount disbursed was adequate for their intended purpose most of the farmers who responded that they did not know whether the amount disbursed was what they wanted or not, still responded that they did not know whether it was adequate (9%) for the intended use. Majority (49%) of those who had responded that the amount borrowed was exactly what was disbursed responded that the loan amount was adequate for their needs.

4.2.6 Ability to repay loans

The farmers were able to repay the loans with varying ease. 31% had no difficulties in repayment, 46% repaid with occasional difficulties, and 9% repaid with constant struggle while 8% fell behind payments. Surprisingly none of them were completely unable to repay. 6% responded that they did not know.



4.2.7 Total Repayment Amount

The farmers were tested on their literacy on the costs of borrowing which specifically tested on insurance, interest and processing fee. Of the farmers who had acquired a loan facility from a financial institution, majority (55%) responded that they knew the total amount they would pay back, with 35% saying had no idea and a moderate 10% responded that they only knew the amount borrowed.

4.3 Financial Counseling

4.3.1 Professional advice

When asked if in the last five years, they received any professional advice about personal finances planning 60% said yes while 40% said no. 90% of those who answered yes got the information from banks representatives, salesmen and accountants while the remaining 10% got the information from informal sources like friends and relatives.


4.3.2 Loan uptake determinant

When asked to describe who influenced their decision to opt for the loan they took the responses were as follows: 23% acted entirely on recommendation by a professional adviser, 10% influenced by a professional adviser, 5% friends, relatives or someone else, 55% entirely personal decision and 6% mixture of influencers.

4.3.3 Clarity of advice on products' pros and cons

Responses on the degree of clarity on products' pros and cons were varied with 39% of the farmers saying that the advice was very clear, 31% fairly clear, 18% not very clear and 4% responded that it was not at all clear while 8% did not know. On further scrutiny those with post primary education responded that the information was clear.

4.3.4 Reading on Terms and conditions



In response to whether they read the terms and conditions of the loan before signing the loan contract 66% responded that they read the terms and conditions carefully, 16% perused briefly and 19% did not read them at all.

4.3.5 Insurance Services

66% of the farmers responded that they have ever considered taking out an insurance policy for themselves with 32% saying no. 2 % of the farmers have never considered acquiring insurance products. 53% of the farmers as at the time of the study had an insurance policy, while 47 % did not have.

4.4 Financial Management skills

The farmers were asked to rate their ability to record the tea production from their farms and 86% said they were able and 14% said they had no ability. On ability to record cost of all inputs involved in tea farming, 77% said that they could record and 23 % said they could not. The farmers were also questioned on their ability to record and calculate the amount of initial capital invested in their farming business with 73% said they could, 25% had no ability while 2% were not sure if they could.

The farmers further responded in terms of their ability to record and calculate the profit and loss in their tea production venture, 76% could record and compute, 19% could not and 4% were not sure if they could. 63% responded that they could create a good and effective financial accounting system, 32% could not while 5% were not sure of their ability.

Response towards application of negotiation skills when purchasing financial products, 23% of the farmers responded that they always negotiated, 41% sometimes would negotiate and 36% never negotiated for competitive interest rates, loan repayment terms and collateral requirements. 50% of the farmers responded that they sometimes established appropriate measures to control cash expenses in their farms , 31% always had control measures and never and don't know was represented by 11% and 7% of the farmers respectively.

Majority of the farmers (90%) expressed the necessity to have follow-up and continuous education to improve financial management skills. Only 10% felt that it was not necessary for them as they had the required skills. This was probably due to the fact the 38% of farmers recognized that they did not have the necessary skills needed to effectively use financial and credit services from various sources while 62% thought they had the skills but would need more training for improvement. 51% of the farmers responded that the money management education they are currently accessing from the government and tea companies was not adequate. On sources of money management education the farmers revealed the following as sources of information: financial institutions 49%, 10% government, tea companies 45% while 9% got the information from others sources.

5. Discussion and Recommendations

In Nyeri county, the level of financial inclusion as measured on the basis of ownership of a basic bank account is impressive at 94%. This is higher than that of the whole of Kenya in 2009 which stood at 73.2% (FinAccess, 2009). The study noted the low use of mobile telephony which is probably due to low user sensitization initiatives and mistrust on this new service delivery channel. Efforts should be made to make it more acceptable as it can greatly reduce operation

costs of financial institutions which will in turn reduce the product/service final price to consumers.

In terms of access to credit, the level of access among the tea farmers was 50.7% which is laudable when compared to the national statistics of 2009 which stood at 36.9% in rural areas (FinAccess 2009). An issue that needs to be investigated in future studies is the prevalence of informal financial credit providers despite their exorbitant interest rates and punitive collection measure in case of default vis a vis the cheap and ‘easy’ access to credit as purported by financial inclusion policy interventions. This may help reduce the use of informal borrowing or adoption of the model into the formal sector.

Findings on financial literacy and management skills revealed that the farmers had adequate skills but on further engagement the farmers (90%) expressed the need for more education. This high level of education is not in tandem with the application of the knowledge by the farmers. Follow up of trained farmers should be encouraged to ensure maximum benefits from the training are achieved. The education should focus on basic accounting and preparation of farm accounts, budgeting and cost control, negotiation skills, consumer protection (insurance) and how to identify suitable financial products. This is more comprehensive as compared to what is recommended by AgriProFocus, (2011) which does not include negotiation skills, consumer protection and how to identify suitable financial products especially at this era of multiple products from formal, semi formal and informal sources. Financial inclusion should be noted comprises of several items namely basic banking services, remittances, savings, loans, financial counseling and insurance (Sahrawat, 2010).

Another issue under financial management skills was on farmers having difficulties with loan repayments. The reason for this was not investigated but can be associated with the seasonal nature of farming income and can be solved by adjusting the loan repayment to suit the cyclical income flows of the farmers. Another cause would be giving the farmers a higher or lower loan value than what they actually requested. Financial counseling can go a long way in enabling the farmers compute the exact amount needed and learn how to renegotiate for the loan value needed incase what is offered is lower. Importance of hedging against risk needs to be inculcated in the farmers’ financial behavior to cater for difficulty in repayment caused by natural crop failure.

Further research on what farmers are currently taught under financial literacy /management classes needs to be investigated. A study on the impact of the education offered is important as it can shed light on the content offered, the causes for the low implementation and formulation of corrective measures.

The study recommends the urgent need to design a curriculum on financial management for small scale farmers. This can be done through the government and other organizations like educational institutions. This will lead to full realization of financial inclusion benefits. The curriculum will ensure comprehensive and standardized information delivered to farmers. Follow up and further counseling after availing financial inclusion services/products should be conducted to check on impact on the farmers’ financial progress.

A limitation of the study was the small sample size and focus on only two tea factories out of the sixty two factories under KTDA.

References

- AgriProFocus, 2011, A Guide For Small Holder Farmers On Saving, Accessing Credit, And Effectively Managing Money For Improved Livelihoods, Eds. Musiime, S. & Atuha, B. Published by Agri Profocus Uganda
- Argwings-Kodhek G.(2004), Feast and Famine: Financial Services for Rural Kenya, working paper 12, Tegemeo Rural Finance Paper.
- Arora, S. and Ferrand,D., (2008) , Kenya: Meeting the Challenge of Creating an Inclusive Financial Sector, Financial Sector Team, DFID.
- Badiru, I.O., 2010, Review of Small Farmer Access to Agricultural Credit in Nigeria, International Food Policy Research Institute, Policy Note No. 25.
- Blezek D.A, Post D H. (1989) Farm Credit Competencies Needed and Possessed by Selected Nebraska Young Farmers / Ranchers.
- Burgess R and Pandey R, 2005, Do Rural Banks Matter? Evidence from the Indian Social Banking Experiment, The American Economic Review, Vol 95, No.3 (June 2005) pp 780-795.
- Chakrabarty K.C., (2010), Financial Inclusion and Banks: Issues and Perspectives.
- Congress of the US, (1986). Technology, Public Policy, and the Changing Structure of American Agriculture. Washington, D.C.: Office of Technology Assessment.
- Dupas, P, and Jonathan R. 2012. “Savings Constraints and Microenterprise Development: Evidence from a Field Experiment in Kenya.” Journal of Economic Literature (JEL).
- Ellis K, Lemma A and Rud J, (2010), Financial inclusion household investment and growth in Kenya and Tanzania, Overseas Development Institute(ODI) Project Briefing, No 43, September 2010.
- FinAccess, 2009. National Survey Results. Dynamics of Kenya’s changing landscape. Central Bank of Kenya and Financial Sector Deepening publication
- Gardeva A and Rhyne E., (2011), Opportunities and Obstacles to Financial Inclusion Survey Report, Center for Financial Inclusion.
- International Finance Corporation, (2011), Scaling Up Access to Finance for Agricultural SMEs Policy Review and Recommendations, October.
- International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD) (2011), Enabling poor rural people to overcome poverty in Kenya, July publication.
- Kenya Tea Board Website (2012), <http://www.teaboard.or.ke/industry/tea/>, downloaded on 19.03,2012.
- Kibaara,B.(2006) Rural Financial Services in Kenya: What is Working and Why? Tegemeo Working paper 25/ 2006.
- Mburu S, Njuki J. and Kariuki J.(2012),Intra-household access to livestock information and financial services in Kenya, Livestock Research for Rural Development 24 (2) 2012. www.lrrd.org/lrrd24/2/mbur24038.htm
- National Report On Kenya , 2006, Agrarian Reforms and Rural Development: New Challenges And Options For Revitalizing Rural Communities In Kenya, Presented At The International Conference On Agrarian Reforms And Rural Development: New Challenges And Options For Revitalizing Rural Communities Port Alegre, Brazil , March 7-10.
- Nyikal, R. A., (2000). “Financing Agricultural Production in Kenya: an Economic Analysis of the Credit Market.” PhD Thesis. University of Nairobi.
- Nyikal, R.A., 2007, Financing Smallholder Agricultural Production in Kenya, AAAE Conference Proceedings (2007) 193-197.

- Sahrawat R., (2010), Financial Inclusion From Obligation to Opportunity, Tata Consultancy Service Ltd.
- Sanne V. W.,(2008), Sustainability Issues in the Tea Sector A Comparative Analysis of Six Leading Producing Countries, Stichting Onderzoek Multinationale Ondernemingen (Centre for Research on Multinational Corporations).
- UK Tea Council, Out of Africa, 2nd June 2010. <http://www.tea.co.uk/news-article/Out-of-Africa>, downloaded on 19.03,2012.
- Waweru N.M. & Kalani V.M. (2009), African Journal of Accounting, Economics, Finance and Banking Research Vol. 4. No. 4.